Brain activation during eye gaze discrimination in stable schizophrenia☆
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Abstract

Objective: Earlier studies described gaze discrimination impairment in schizophrenia. The purpose of this study was to compare gaze discrimination abilities and associated brain activation in persons with stable schizophrenia and matched controls.

Methods: 13 schizophrenia and 12 healthy participants underwent a gaze discrimination task with face stimuli rotated at 0, 4 and 8° deviation. During fMRI with BOLD imaging, subjects were asked to identify whether a face was making eye contact. Subject-level parameter estimates for BOLD signal change were entered into an orientation by group mixed effect repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: Gaze discrimination performance did not differ between groups. Patients showed decreased activation in areas of bilateral inferior frontal and occipital areas, and select temporo-limbic regions, including amygdala. Groups differed by activation patterns according to gaze deviation. In controls, faces with 4° deviation produced higher activation in frontal and temporal regions. In patients, 0° deviation produced increased activation in amygdala and areas of temporal neocortex.

Conclusions: Despite similar gaze discrimination abilities, schizophrenia patients exhibit decreased brain activation in areas associated with executive, emotional and visual processing. Controls exhibited increased activation associated with the more difficult task in select frontal and temporal regions. Patients exhibited increased activation associated with direct gaze in temporal regions, which may relate to common symptoms.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Impaired interpersonal engagement and socialization may be apparent long before the onset of schizophrenia (Watt, 1978, Walker et al., 1993) and these functions represent enduring hallmarks of the illness, independent of psychotic symptoms. Ocular regions and their functions, are instrumental in establishing interpersonal relatedness and conveying emotional information (Kleinke, 1986). In schizophrenia, poor eye contact represents a common negative symptom (Andreasen, 1984a,b; Pitman et al., 1987), which can affect interpersonal engagement and produce misperception of gaze direction, possibly leading to ideas of reference and paranoia.

Baron-Cohen (1995) proposed that gaze detection is governed by a cognitive module involving eye detection,
gaze detection and determination of whether gaze makes direct contact. Lesion and functional brain studies in humans and primates support the role of the fusiform gyrus in face processing (Allison et al., 1994; Clark et al., 1996; Kanwisher et al., 1997). Gaze detection consistently involves areas of the superior temporal sulcus (Perrett et al., 1992; Campbell et al., 1999; Hoffman and Haxby 2000) and prefrontal lobe (Wicker et al., 1998), that are already implicated in schizophrenia, based on volumetric and functional studies (McCarley et al., 1993; Gur and Pearson 1993; Zipursky et al., 1994).

Rosse et al. (1994) reported that persons with schizophrenia, particularly those with paranoia, misidentified faces with averted gaze as making eye contact. Two subsequent behavioral studies by Franck et al. (1998, 2002) showed unimpaired gaze detection and no clear association between the sense of being looked at and paranoia. Most recently, Hooker and Park (2005) reported that persons with schizophrenia have a tendency to perceive averted gaze as making eye contact and this misperception is not due to perceptual spatial difficulties. The initial study employed faces turned at different degrees, a design supported by findings that frontal eye fields coordinate parallel head and eye shifts (Chen, 2006). Subsequent studies employed faces in full frontal view with different degrees of gaze deviation (Franck et al., 1998; Franck et al., 2002; Hooker and Park 2005), which allows for more specific focus on ocular regions at the expense of appearing less naturalistic.

The purpose of this study was to examine gaze discrimination in persons with stable outpatients with schizophrenia and measure blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) changes during discrimination of direct versus averted gaze in two conditions of varying difficulty. We hypothesized that even when task performance is similar for patients and controls, patients show altered activation in areas of visual processing and limbic system, consistent with alterations in processing of face (Onitsuka et al., 2006) and gaze stimuli (Rosse et al., 1994; Hooker and Park 2005) in schizophrenia. Such information can help elucidate differences in behavioral and brain based processing of gaze, which is fundamental for interpersonal relatedness and assigning relevance to social information.

2. Method

2.1. Subjects

13 persons with stable schizophrenia (ave. age= 35.4 years., age range=29–47 years.) and 12 healthy participants (ave. age=30.1 years, age range=23–52 years.) were consecutive volunteers for brain-behavior studies at the Schizophrenia Research Center of the University of Pennsylvania. There were 6 men in each group, 11 of 13 patients and all controls were right-handed. Two controls and 2 patients were excluded from further analysis due to excessive motion and the final sample included 11 patients (5 males) and 10 controls (4 males).

Participants underwent a medical examination, laboratory tests, and psychiatric assessment (Gur et al., 1991; Shtasel et al., 1991).

Patient criteria for entry into the center were a diagnosis of schizophrenia based on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID), no concomitant axis I or axis II disorder, and no medical or neurological event or disorder that might affect brain function. Patients had a mean duration of illness of 12.01 years±7.63, all were studied while clinically stable consistent with schizophrenia, residual type (n=8) or in remission (n=3) and none had been hospitalized within 6 months prior. They had the following global ratings for positive (Andreasen, 1984a) and negative (Andreasen, 1984b) symptoms at the time of testing: Hallucinations=1.38±1.61, delusions=1.08±1.50, behavior=0, thought disorder=0.62±1.26; affective flattening=1.62±1.45, alogia=0.85±1.41, avolition=1.31±1.60, anhedonia=1.77±1.36, attention=0.58±1.08. Three patients were treated with first generation antipsychotics (mean dose=357 chlorpromazine equivalents) and 10 with second-generation antipsychotics (mean dose=13.5 olanzapine equivalents), including 2 patients who were on combination treatment. Healthy participants, recruited through newspaper advertisements, underwent the same screening procedures and were assessed with the SCID nonpatient-version. They had no history of illness affecting brain function or a history of major psychiatric illness in first-degree relatives. After complete description of the study, written informed consent was obtained from all participants following consent procedures as mandated by the IRB at Penn.

2.1.1. Gaze discrimination task

Images of 3-dimensional neutral facial expressions of 4 persons (2 male, 2 female) underwent rotation of 4° and 8° from 0° head-on using VRMLView (http://www.sim.no) and horizontal transposition yielding 24 stimuli. We anticipated that gaze direction is readily identified in 0° and 8°, but not 4° rotation. Stimuli were presented in pseudorandom order for 1 s, followed by a 16 s inter-stimulus interval (baseline condition) during which subjects viewed a complex cross-hair (fixation point
Subjects were instructed to respond to the statement “This face looks at me (8 trails) or away (16 trails)” during face presentation. During the inter-stimulus interval (rest condition) subjects were instructed to remain still, focus on the crosshair, and await the appearance of the next face. Examples of stimuli are presented in Fig. 1. Patients had no difficulties comprehending task instructions and completed the behavioral task without apparent emotional distress.

2.1.2. fMRI measurement

Participants were placed in the scanner in a supine position. Earplugs were fitted to muffle noise and head fixation was assured through a foam-rubber device mounted on the head coil. Stimuli were rear-projected to the center of the visual field using a PowerLite 7300 video projector (Epson America, Inc., Long Beach, CA.) and viewed through a head coil-mounted mirror. Stimuli presentation was synchronized with image acquisition using the PowerLaboratory™ platform and responses made by button press on a non-ferromagnetic keypad (FORP™; Current Design Inc., Philadelphia, PA). Images were acquired using BOLD imaging (Bandettini et al., 1992) on a clinical 3T Siemens Trio Scanner (Iselin, NJ). A 5 min. magnetization-prepared, rapid acquisition gradient-echo image (MPRAGE) was acquired for anatomic overlays of functional data and spatial normalization (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988). BOLD imaging used a 15-slice, single-shot gradient-echo (GE) echo-planar (EPI) sequence (TR/TE=1000/32 ms, FOV=240 mm, matrix=64×64, slice thickness/gap=3/0 mm). This sequence delivered a nominal voxel resolution of 3×3×3 mm. The slices were acquired from the superior cerebellum up through the frontal lobe. Inferiorly this corresponded to a level just below the inferior aspect of the temporal lobes and superiorly to approximately the level of the hand-motor area in the primary motor cortex.

2.1.3. Gaze discrimination task analysis

Percent correct and median reaction time (RT; in milliseconds) were calculated for each gaze deviation (0°, 4°, 8°) class. Group differences in percent correct and reaction time among the classes were evaluated with separate repeated measures ANOVAs. To satisfy the normality assumptions of ANOVA, the arcsine transformation was applied to the percentages.

2.1.4. Image processing and statistical analysis

The fMRI data were preprocessed and analyzed using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool) Version 5.1, part of FSL (FMRIB’s Software Library, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). Images are slice time corrected, motion corrected to the median image using tri-linear interpolation with 6° of freedom, high pass filtered (60 s), spatially smoothed (6 mm FWHM, isotropic) and scaled using mean-based intensity normalization. Resulting translational motion parameters were examined to insure that there is not excessive motion (i.e., >6 mm in any plane). BET was used to remove non-brain areas (Smith, 2002). The median functional image was coregistered to the T1-weighted structural volume and then transformed into the standard anatomical space (T1 MNI template) using the tri-linear interpolation (Jenkinson and Smith, 2001) and transformation parameters were later applied to statistical images.

Subject-level time-series statistical analysis was carried out using FILM (FMRIB’s Improved Linear Model) with local autocorrelation correction (Woolrich et al., 2001). The three condition events were modeled using a canonical hemodynamic response function and its temporal derivative. Linear contrast maps for each condition minus baseline were produced and spatially normalized as described above. The group level analysis was accomplished by entering contrast maps for the three gaze deviations minus baseline into a group (control, patient) by gaze (0°, 4°, 8°) mixed effect ANOVA. To increase power, right and left gaze conditions were
collapsed in a single regressor. A voxel level corrected significance threshold of \( p = 0.05 \) was applied to the group \( z \) (Gaussianised \( F \)) image using GRF-theory based maximum height thresholding (Worsley et al., 1992). Follow-up exploratory analyses tested within group effects of gaze on brain activation with separate ANOVAs and the resulting \( Z \) (Gaussianised T/F) statistic images were thresholded at \( p = 0.05 \) (one-tailed, uncorrected). All thresholded images (minimum cluster size ≥30 voxels) were then divided by anatomical regions using the Talairach Daemon database (Lan- caster, 2000). The mean percent signal change for each cluster was extracted and subjected to further offline statistical analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Gaze discrimination performance

Recognition rates (percent correct±SEM) were 73.2±13.3 in patients and 74.2±12.5 in controls for faces at 0° gaze deviation, 42.2±12.6 in patients and 26.8±9.5 in controls for faces at 4° gaze deviation, and 55.7±14.1 in patients and 84.2±9.3 in controls for faces at 8° gaze deviation. For percent correct scores, there was a main effect of gaze deviation (0, 4, 8°) \( F(2, 34) = 6.33, p = 0.005 \), but not of diagnosis \( F(1, 17) = 0.46, p = 0.505 \). There were no effects of diagnosis or gaze deviation on response time \( F(1, 17) = 0.21, p = 0.661, F(2, 34) = 2.16, p = 0.147 \). As anticipated, post hoc analysis revealed better performance for the 0° than the 4° deviation, and performance for 4° was worse than for 8° deviation. There was no group×deviation interaction. Rates of no response did not differ across groups.

3.1. fMRI

A group main effect between patients and controls for activation across all degrees of gaze deviation compared to baseline condition was observed in the following brain regions, ranked by cluster size (Table 1) (Fig. 2): bilateral inferior frontal, right amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus, putamen and thalamus, left globus pallidus and claustrum, bilateral fusiform, right lingual and bilateral occipital gyri. Inspection of the scaled beta coefficients revealed attenuated — or lesser-activation during gaze discrimination compared to baseline for schizophrenia patients in all regions. No main effect for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>HEM b</th>
<th>Z-MAX c</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal Gyrus (BA 45)</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus (BA 37)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−62</td>
<td>−18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial globus pallidus</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual gyrus (BA 18)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>−84</td>
<td>−6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus (BA 20)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus (BA 47)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus (BA 30)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus (BA 18)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−90</td>
<td>−6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus (BA 18)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−84</td>
<td>−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Regions exceeding a probability threshold \( p \leq 0.05 \), corrected and minimum cluster size ≥30 voxels. Estimated Brodmann’s areas and coordinates from Talairach and Tournoux (1988).

\( ^b \) HEM = cerebral hemisphere.

\( ^c \) Z-MAX values represent peak activation for cluster.
gaze deviation or interaction was observed across groups. Activation differences between groups are outlined below (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

Within groups, we performed separate exploratory voxelwise ANOVAs to test the effect of gaze on brain activation. In controls, clusters of voxels exceeded threshold in the superior frontal gyrus (bilateral), right middle frontal gyrus, right hippocampus and left middle temporal gyrus. Overall, the mean percent signal change for these clusters showed a pattern of increased activation for 4° deviation, compared to 0° or 8° deviation, particularly in the middle temporal gyrus (post hoc LSD \( p = 0.007 \), see Table 2 and Fig. 3). In patients, clusters of voxels exceeded threshold in the superior temporal gyrus (bilateral), left amygdala, right middle temporal gyrus, left parahippocampal and transverse temporal gyrus. For this group, the mean percent signal showed a pattern of increased activation for 0° deviation (direct gaze) condition (Table 3 and Fig. 4). This was significantly greater the 0° compared to 8° condition in the left superior temporal gyrus (post hoc LSD \( p = 0.016 \)), right superior temporal gyrus (post hoc LSD \( p = 0.001 \)), left amygdala (post hoc LSD \( p = 0.019 \)), and left transverse temporal gyrus (post hoc LSD \( p = 0.020 \)).

### 4. Conclusions

Despite similar gaze discrimination performance, persons with stable schizophrenia exhibited decreased

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region (Brodmann area)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>HEM</th>
<th>Z-MAX</th>
<th>X (mm)</th>
<th>Y (mm)</th>
<th>Z (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus (BA 10)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus (BA 10)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus (BA 21)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus (BA 11)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region (Brodmann area)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>HEM</th>
<th>Z-MAX</th>
<th>X (mm)</th>
<th>Y (mm)</th>
<th>Z (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus (BA 22)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>−56</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus (BA 21)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus (BA 22)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus (BA 36)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse temporal gyrus (BA 41)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a Regions exceeding a probability threshold \( p \leq 0.05 \), corrected and minimum cluster size \( \geq 30 \) voxels. Estimated Brodmann’s areas and coordinates from Talairach and Tournoux (1988).

b HEM = cerebral hemisphere.

c Z-MAX values represent peak activation for cluster.
brain activation during gaze discrimination in frontal, temporal, occipito-parietal and subcortical areas that are associated with executive functioning, emotional and visual processing. The baseline condition representing a cross-hair superimposed on a scrambled face, in conjunction with the task command, was designed to produce activation findings related to certain features of the face, specifically the eyes. Within the context of the gaze discrimination task, activation differences indicate altered integration of socially relevant information, including face processing, and aspects of social cognition and emotion experience, in schizophrenia. Fusiform areas are instrumental in face processing, in particular when faces are presented in forward condition, which is considered socially more relevant. In addition, the right fusiform gyrus may be more responsive to whole faces and the left socially more relevant. In addition, the right fusiform instrumental in face processing, in particular when faces emotion experience, in schizophrenia. Fusiform areas are face processing, and aspects of social cognition and integration of socially relevant information, including face processing. The baseline condition representing a scrambled face, in conjunction with the task command, was designed to produce activation findings related to certain features of the face, specifically the eyes. Within the context of the gaze discrimination task, activation differences indicate altered integration of socially relevant information, including face processing, and aspects of social cognition and emotion experience, in schizophrenia. Fusiform areas are instrumental in face processing, in particular when faces are presented in forward condition, which is considered socially more relevant. In addition, the right fusiform gyrus may be more responsive to whole faces and the left to face details (Rossion et al., 2000). Amygdala activation may relate to aspects of emotional processing during gaze discrimination (Kawashima et al., 1999), in particular to isolated eye features (Whalen et al., 2004), or to attempts to search for and establish direct eye contact (Hooker et al., 2003). Frontal lobe activation may relate to decision-making and aspects of attribution of mental states or theory of mind mechanisms (Frith and Frith 2003, Siegal and Varley 2002) that play a role when faces are analyzed regarding information and meaning. Striatal and thalamic activations are less readily explained, although facial attractiveness can produce ventral striatum activation (Kampe et al., 2001). Amongst other brain regions, a large body of research has implicated both function (Onitsuka et al., 2006, Gur et al., 2007) and structure (Davatzikos et al., 2005; Onitsuka et al., 2006; Crespo Faccoro et al., 2007) of these areas in schizophrenia. Within group comparison regarding the effects of gaze direction revealed different findings in controls and patients. Controls exhibited increased activation associated with 4° deviation in frontal and temporal regions, perhaps mirroring the increased cognitive demand of the more difficult condition. Patients, on the other hand, exhibited increased activation associated with direct gaze in temporal regions, in particular regions instrumental in processing of direct gaze and fear.

Potential limitations of our study involve choice of stimuli, sample size, clinical characteristics, and lack of exploration of possible gaze activation by group interactions and relationships between brain activation, performance and clinical symptoms. The choice of faces with direct gaze that underwent horizontal rotation was similar to the previous study by Rosse et al., (1994). This design is more naturalistic, compared to faces in full frontal orientation with eyes rotated, and supported by findings that frontal eye fields coordinate parallel head and eye shifts (Chen 2006). Nevertheless, head rotation of the stimuli may have affected task performance and we attempted to limit possible attention to extraocular regions by asking probands to pay specific attention to the eyes. Based on the simplistic task design and small sample size, there was insufficient statistical power to find a possible gaze direction by group interaction. Similarly, due to small sample size and overall clinical stability of patients, relationships with clinical symptoms were not further pursued in this data set and remain to be explored in a future project aimed at more specific examination of these issues.

The eyes, termed by Immanuel Kant as “windows to the soul”, communicate information about the internal state of the person, commonly involving emotional content, and the environment. More recently, eyes have been described as instrumental (Calder et al., 2002) in the concept of Theory of Mind, defined as the ability to ascribe mental states, such as thoughts, emotions and intentions, to self and others (Premack and Woodruff, 1978). Isolated eye whites of fearful faces produce amygdala activation (Whalen et al., 2004) and in a person with bilateral amygdala damage inability to visually fixate on the eyes produced impaired fear recognition (Adolphs et al., 2005), which improved with explicit instructions. Depending on the emotional expression, direction of gaze can have a specific communicatory effect, e.g. the expression of fear and direct gaze or eye contact will signal fear from the observer, whereas gaze direction aimed away from the observer will signal external danger. Until now, studies on emotion identification have presented the whole face in full frontal view and mostly with direct gaze. For persons with schizophrenia and poor eye contact, this communicatory effect of gaze may differ from the effect in healthy persons and may contribute to misinterpretation of the target emotion or even non-emotional faces, relating to paranoia and ideas of reference. This concept is partly supported by findings in a person with Capgras syndrome, characterized by misidentification of familiar persons as imposters, where failure to identify gaze was associated with failed recognition of the face (Hirstein and Ramachandran 1997). While our study did not examine the possible relationship between gaze discrimination and clinical symptoms, direct eye contact may relate to positive symptoms of schizophrenia, such as ideas of reference and paranoia.

As in the recent studies in Fragile X (Garrett et al., 2004) and Williams syndrome (Mobbs et al., 2004), we did not find a selective effect of direct gaze on brain activation. Future studies in schizophrenia employing more subtle degrees of gaze deviation, including non-
emotional and emotional faces, larger number of stimuli and patient groups with wider range of positive and negative symptoms can better explore issues regarding potential relationship with acute symptoms of psychosis.
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